UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF KANSAS

| T | TN | TTTT | CTATEC | OE AL | AEDICA |
|---|----|------|---------------|-------|----------|
| ι | Л | いしじい | STATES | OF AN | VIEKICA. |

Plaintiff,

vs. Case No. 09-5028M-01-KGS

RYAN A. PETERSON,

Defendant.

DETENTION ORDER

A. Order for Detention.

After conducting a detention hearing pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), the court orders the defendant detained pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3142(e) & (i).

B. Statement of Reasons for Detention.

As its ultimate reason(s) for detaining defendant, the court finds:

- □ by a preponderance of the evidence, that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of defendant as required, i.e., defendant poses a serious flight risk.
- \checkmark by clear and convincing evidence, that no condition or combinations of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person or the community.
- □ specifically, and without limitation of the foregoing, defendant poses a serious risk of
- □ there is clear and convincing evidence that defendant will obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice, or threaten, injure, or intimidate, or attempt to threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror.

C. Findings of Fact.

The court's findings are based on the evidence presented in court, and evidence contained in the pretrial services report, including the following:

- $\sqrt{}$ (1) Nature and circumstances of the offense charged:
 - $\sqrt{}$ (a) The crime: Attempted murder; 18 U.S.C. § 1113.
 - (b) The offense is a crime of violence.
 - □ (c) The offense involves a narcotic drug.
 □ (d) The offense involves a large amount of controlled substances.
 - □ Court □ U.S. Attorney □ Defense Counsel □ Pretrial Services

| √ | (2) | | The record and weight of the evidence indicate that the Government has a strong case against defendant. | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|----------|---|--|--|--|--|
| √ | (3) | Tł | The history and characteristics of defendant, including: | | | | |
| | (a | ı) | General factors: | | | | |
| | | □ | Defendant appears to be a person of poor character. Defendant appears to have a mental condition which may affect whether defendant will appear. Defendant has no significant family ties in the area. Defendant is unemployed. Defendant has no substantial financial resources. Defendant is not a long-time resident of the community. Defendant does not have significant community ties. Past conduct of defendant: | | | | |
| | | | Defendant has a history relating to drug and/or alcohol abuse. Defendant has a significant prior criminal record. Defendant has a prior record of failing to appear at court proceedings. Defendant has a prior record of failing to comply with conditions of release. | | | | |
| | (t |) | At the time of the current arrest, defendant was on: | | | | |
| | | | probation. parole. release pending trial, sentence, appeal, or completion of sentence. | | | | |
| | (c | :) | Other factors: | | | | |
| | | | Defendant is an illegal alien and is subject to deportation. Defendant is a legal alien and will be subject to deportation if convicted. Other: violent behavior history. | | | | |
| | (4) | Th re | The threat of continued if defendant were eleased poses a serious risk of danger to the community. | | | | |
| | (5) Rebuttable presumptions. | | | | | | |
| | | | termining that defendant should be detained, the court also relied on the ving rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e): | | | | |
| | | a. | There is probable cause to believe that defendant committed an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed: | | | | |
| | | | □ in the Controlled Substances Act, 21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.; □ in the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act, 21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.; or □ in the Maritime Drug Law Enforcement Act, 46 U.S.C. App. § 1901 et seq. | | | | |
| | | b. | There is probable cause to believe that defendant committed an offense: | | | | |

| D. | Other | <u>r.</u> | | |
|-----------|-------|-----------|----------------------------|---|
| | | e. | Defendar presumpt | nt has failed to rebut the above-described statutory rebuttable zion. |
| | | d. | presumpt | nt has rebutted the above-described statutory rebuttable tion, but said presumption nonetheless remains a factor (among all be considered in deciding whether to detain defendant. |
| | | | re | ot more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction or lease from imprisonment for the offense referred to in abparagraph (2). |
| | | | co | e offense referred to in subparagraph (2) immediately above was ammitted while defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, ate, or local offense; <u>AND</u> |
| | | | | efendant previously has been convicted of one of the crimes listed the above-described subparagraphs (A)-(C); |
| | | | <u>A</u> | ND |
| | | | □ (D) | any felony and defendant previously was convicted of two or more of the offenses described in subparagraphs (A) - (C) of this paragraph; |
| | | | □ (C) | a controlled substance violation that has a maximum penalty of ten years or more; <u>or</u> |
| | | | □ (A) □ (B) | a crime of violence; an offense for which the maximum penalty is life imprisonment or death; |
| | | c. | | the crime charged in the present case is one described in $\{42(f)(1), i.e.,$ |
| | | | □ an off person □ an off | cking or any felony-level crime of violence); fense 18 U.S.C. § 956(a) (conspiracy to kill, kidnap, or injure ns or damage property in a foreign country). fense under 18 U.S.C. § 2332(b) (terrorism transcending national daries) |

E. Additional Directives.

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3142(i)(2)-(4), the court directs that defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the

extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. Defendant shall be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with his counsel. On order of a court of the United States, or on request of any attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which defendant is confined shall deliver defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Dated: 6/03/09 s/ K. Gary Sebelius

K. Gary Sebelius U.S. Magistrate Judge